

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/807,025	06/07/2001	Robert Christiaan Makkus	BO-41866	9700	
466	7590 04/03/2003				
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR			EXAMINER		
ARLINGTON		К	CREPEAU, J	CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1746	9	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)
Attachment(s)	oodo priority under 3	5 5.5.6. 33 120 dilu/01 121.	
 a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 	•		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			nal application).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li			
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	iai Olaye
Copies of the certified copies of the property documents and the property documents are property documents.		· · · ——	nal Stane
Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rece	ived	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	aga priority under o	7 5.5.5. 3 1 13(a)-(u) 01 (i).	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 34	SUSC 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			ımıçı.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		- ,	•
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to	•		۵)
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		ad to but bo Framin	
··· <u> </u>			
8) Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> are subject to restriction and Application Papers	1/or election requirer	nent.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consider	ation.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are pending in the applica			
Disposition of Claims			
closed in accordance with the practice und			
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo			the merits is
<u> </u>	This action is non-fi	nal.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	07 June 2001 .		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the second of the sec	1.136(a). In no event, howe reply within the statutory mir iod will apply and will expire tute, cause the application to	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered to SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mely. is communication.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF		PIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S) FROM	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cove	r sneet with the correspondence	address
The MANUFIC DATE of this	Jonathan S. Cro	-	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	09/807,025	MAKKUS ET A	L.

Application/Control Number: 09/807,025

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 10-14, drawn to a method of coating a support plate.

Group II, claim(s) 15-18, drawn to a fuel cell stack.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The independent claims of both groups recite a stainless steel substrate coated by a titanium oxide layer followed by a nickel layer. However, as pointed out in the International Preliminary Examination Report, this subject matter lacks novelty over JP 5-339748. Accordingly, this subject matter does not constitute a special technical feature which makes a contribution over the prior art. Further, the method of Group I is not specially adapted to make the product of Group II (37 CFR 1.475(b)).

Application/Control Number: 09/807,025

Art Unit: 1746

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (703) 305-0051. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached at (703) 308-4333. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5900. Additionally, documents may be faxed to (703) 305-5408 or (703) 305-5433.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JSC

March 27, 2003

RANDY GULAKOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

AMENDMENTS MAY DW BE SUBMITTED IN REVED FORMAT

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) is permitting applicants to submit amendments in a revised format as set forth below. Further details of this practice are described in AMENDMENTS IN A REVISED FORMAT NOW PERMITTED, signed January 31, 2003, expected to be published in Official Gazette in February, 2003 (Notice posted on the Office's web site at

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/revamdtprac.htm). The revised amendment format is essentially the same as the amendment format that the Office is considering adopting via a revision to 37 CFR 1.121 (Manner of Making Amendments). The revision to 37 CFR 1.121 (if adopted) will simplify amendment submission and improve file management. The Office plans to adopt such a revision to 37 CFR 1.121 by July of 2003, at which point compliance with revised 37 CFR 1.121 will be mandatory.

Effective immediately, <u>all</u> applicants may submit amendments in reply to Office actions using the following format. Participants in the Office's electronic file wrapper prototype¹ receiving earlier notices of the revised practice may also employ the procedures set out below.

REVISED FORMAT OF AMENDMENTS

Begin on separate sheets:

Each section of an Amendment (e.g., Claim Amendments, Specification Amendments, Remarks) should begin on a separate sheet. For example, in an amendment containing a.) introductory comments, b.) amendments to the claims, c.) amendments to the specification, and d.) remarks, each of these sections should begin on a separate sheet. This will facilitate the process of separately indexing and scanning of each part of an amendment document for placement in an electronic file wrapper.

Two versions of amended part(s) no longer required:

The current requirement in 37 CFR 1.121(b) and (c) to provide two versions (a clean version and a marked up version) of each replacement paragraph, section, substitute specification or claim will be waived where an amendment is submitted in the following format:

A) Amendments to the claims:

Each amendment document that includes a change to an existing claim, or submission of a new claim, must include a complete listing of all claims in the application. After each claim number, the status must be indicated in a parenthetical expression, and the text of each claim under examination (with markings to show current changes) must be presented. The listing will serve to replace all prior versions of the claims in the application.

- (1) The current status of all of the claims in the application, including any previously canceled or withdrawn claims, must be given. Status is indicated in a parenthetical expression following the claim number by one of the following: (original), (currently amended), (previously amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), (previously added), (reinstated formerly claim #_), (previously reinstated), (re-presented formerly dependent claim #_), or (previously re-presented). The text of all pending claims under examination must be submitted each time any claim is amended. Canceled and withdrawn claims should be indicated by only the claim number and status.
- (2) All claims being currently amended must be presented with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version. The changes in any amended claim should be shown by strikethrough (for deleted matter) or underlining (for added matter). An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented. Only claims of the status "currently amended" will include markings.
- (3) The text of pending claims <u>not being amended</u> must be presented in clean version, i.e., without any markings. Any claim presented in clean version will constitute an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version.
- (4) A claim may be canceled by merely providing an instruction to cancel. Listing a claim as canceled will

¹ The Office's Electronic File Wrapper prototype program is described in *USPTO ANNOUNCES PROTOTYPE OF IMAGE PROCESSING*, 1265 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 87 (Dec. 17, 2002) ("Prototype Announcement"), and applies only to Art Units 1634, 2827 and 2834.

constitute an instruction to cancel they claims added by amendment must be recated as (new) and shall not be underlined.

(5) All of the claims in each amendment paper must be presented in ascending numerical order. Consecutive canceled or withdrawn claims may be aggregated into one statement (e.g. Claims 1-5 (canceled)).

Example of listing of claims:

Claims 1-5 (canceled)

Claim 6 (withdrawn)

Claim 7 (previously amended): A bucket with a handle.

Claim 8 (currently amended): A bucket with a green blue handle.

Claim 9 (withdrawn)

Claim 10 (original): The bucket of claim 8 with a wooden handle.

Claim 11 (canceled)

Claim 12 (new): A bucket with plastic sides and bottom.

Claim 13 (previously added): A bucket having a circumferential upper lip.

Claim 14 (re-presented – formerly claim 11): A black bucket with a wooden handle.

B) Amendments to the specification:

Amendments to the specification may be made by presenting a replacement paragraph, section or substitute specification marked up to show changes made relative to the immediate prior version. An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented.

C) Amendments to drawing figures:

Drawing changes may be made by presenting replacement figures which incorporate the proposed changes and which comply with § 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented in the remarks section of the amendment. If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not approved by the examiner, applicant will be informed in the next Office action. Any replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended."

Any questions regarding the submission of amendments pursuant to the revised practice set forth in this flyer should be directed to the following legal advisors in the Office of Patent Legal Administration (OPLA): Elizabeth Dougherty (Elizabeth Dougherty@uspto.gov), Gena Jones (Eugenia.Jones@uspto.gov) or Joe Narcavage (Joseph.Narcavage@uspto.gov). For information on the waiver or legal aspects of the prototype, please contact Jay Lucas (Jay.Lucas@uspto.gov), Senior Legal Advisor (PCTLA) or Rob Clarke (Robert Clarke@uspto.gov), Senior Legal Advisor (OPLA). Alternatively, further information may be obtained by calling OPLA at (703) 305-1616.